

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Jun SUN et al.

Application No.: 10/737,209

Group Art Unit: 2621

Confirmation No. 7681

Filed: December 17, 2003

Examiner: Sathyanaraya Perungavoor

For: VIDEO TEXT PROCESSING APPARATUS

LETTER TO THE EXAMINER

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A restriction requirement was mailed in the above-identified application on March 21, 2008, having a response due date of April 21, 2008. Applicant contacted Examiner Perungavoor on March 27, 2008 to explain that an oral restriction was previously received on July 12, 2007 in which Applicant elected Group I, claims 1-7, 16-22, and 31. Examiner indicated that the above-identified docket was transferred to another Examiner (Nhon Thanh Diep) and the new Examiner must have mistakenly issued a second restriction. Examiner Perungavoor further indicated that the second restriction would be withdrawn, the first restriction and resulting election would be entered appropriately, and the case would be transferred back to Examiner Perungavoor.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that no response is due to the Restriction Requirement mailed March 21, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,
STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Dated: April 2, 2008
1201 New York Ave., N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

By: /J. Randall Beckers/
J. Randall Beckers
Registration No. 30,358